Application No.: 10/767,267

Attorney Docket No.: 04329.3235-00

REMARKS

Claims 1-16 are pending. In the Office Action mailed March 8, 2007, (hereinafter, "Office Action"), the Examiner rejected claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,670,950 to Chin et al. (hereinafter, "Chin").

By this response, Applicant has amended claims 1-3 and 5-16. No new matter has been added. Accordingly, claims 1-16 remain pending.

In light of the foregoing amendments and based on the reasoning presented below, Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by *Chin*, and requests allowance of pending claims 1-16.

I. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Applicant respectfully submits that *Chin* fails to disclose all of the subject matter recited in the amended independent claims. A proper anticipation rejection requires that "each and every element set forth in the claim be found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *M.P.E.P.* § 2131. *Chin* fails to disclose, *inter alia*, "the display controller configured to: display a virtual screen including the main operation screen, and change a position of the main operation screen in the virtual screen based on a change of the position of the pointer caused by the touch pad," as recited in amended independent claim 1.

Instead, *Chin* discloses "a portable computer includ[ing] a main display panel, such as a main liquid crystal display (LCD) panel . . . and an auxiliary display panel, such as an auxiliary LCD panel" *Chin*, col. 4, II. 51-54. In *Chin*, "[a] video is identically displayed on both screens of the main LCD panel and the auxiliary LCD

Application No.: 10/767,267 Attorney Docket No.: 04329.3235-00

panel." *Id.* at col. 4, II. 56-58 (emphasis added). *Chin* states that "video data generated from portable computer 40 are <u>simultaneously input to both</u> the main LCD panel 42 and the auxiliary LCD panel 48, so that a video image is <u>identically displayed</u> on the panels 42 and 48." *Id.* at col. 5, II. 14-18 (emphasis added).

Thus, *Chin* fails to disclose a change in a position of the video image of the main LCD panel 42 in the auxiliary LCD panel 48. Instead, *Chin* states that "[p]ointers 50 and 52, which are identical to each other, are displayed on screens 42a and 48a of the LCD panels 42 and 48, respectively . . . [and] the user can confirm a position of the pointer by looking at the video image displayed on the auxiliary LCD panel 48 and carry out pointer input using the touch screen 48a of the auxiliary LCD panel 48." *Id.* at col. 5, Il. 18-24.

Moreover, because *Chin* discloses that the display on the auxiliary LCD panel 48 is identical to the image displayed on the main LCD panel 42 and, it appears, the display on the auxiliary LCD panel 48 is static relative to the image displayed on the main LCD panel 42, *Chin* necessarily cannot disclose that "the display controller [is] configured to: display a virtual screen including the main operation screen, and change a position of the main operation screen in the virtual screen based on a change of the position of the pointer caused by the touch pad," as recited in amended independent claim 1.

For at least the above-outlined reason, *Chin* fails to disclose all of the subject matter recited in Applicant's amended independent claim 1. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C.

Application No.: 10/767,267

Attorney Docket No.: 04329.3235-00

§ 102(e), and allowance of independent claim 1, as well as claims 2-8, which depend

from independent claim 1.

Amended independent claim 9, although of differing scope, recites elements

similar to those of amended independent claim 1, and is therefore allowable for at least

the same reasons. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and

withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), and allowance of amended

independent claim 9, as well as claims 10-16, which depend from independent claim 9.

II. Conclusion

The Office Action contains a number of statements reflecting characterizations of

the related art and the claims. Regardless of whether any such statements are

identified herein, Applicant declines to automatically subscribe to any statement or

characterization in the Office Action.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully

requests reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance

of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge

any additional required fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,

GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: June 8, 2007

-9-